

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MARIA MENDOZA, an individual,

No. 3:21-cv-01503-JR

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

CITY OF PORTLAND, by and through
PORTLAND POLICE BUREAU, a municipal
corporation; PORTLAND POLICE OFFICER
JOHN DOE 1, in his individual and official
capacity; PORTLAND POLICE OFFICER
JOHN DOE 2, in his individual and official
capacity; and PORTLAND POLICE OFFICER
JANE DOE, in her individual and official
capacity,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Russo issued a Findings and Recommendation on July 21, 2023, in
which she recommends that the Court grant Defendant City of Portland's motion for summary

judgment. F&R, ECF 38. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).


Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); *see also United States v. Bernhardt*, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, the Court finds no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Russo's Findings and Recommendation [38]. Accordingly, Defendant City of Portland's Motion for Summary Judgment [23] is granted and the remaining state law claims are remanded to state court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 28, 2023.



MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge